

International Land Model Benchmarking (ILAMB) Project

Forrest M. Hoffman^{†‡} and James T. Randerson[†]

 $^\dagger\text{University}$ of California - Irvine and $^\ddagger\text{Oak}$ Ridge National Laboratory

October 14, 2011

Multi-Scale Synthesis and Terrestrial Biospheric Model Intercomparison Project (MsTMIP) Meeting NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, California, USA





DEPARTMENT OF EARTH SYSTEM SCIENCE School of Physical Sciences University of California - Irvine

Forrest M. Hoffman and James T. Randerson



- Develop benchmarks for land model performance, with a focus on carbon cycle, ecosystem, surface energy, and hydrological processes. The benchmarks should be designed and accepted by the community.
- Apply the benchmarks to global models.
- Support the design and development of a new, open-source, benchmarking software system for either diagnostic or model intercomparison purposes.
- Strengthen linkages between experimental, monitoring, remote sensing, and climate modeling communities in the design of new model tests and new measurement programs.

- to show the broader science community and the public that the representation of the carbon cycle in climate models is improving;
- to provide a means, in Earth System models, to quantitatively diagnose impacts of model development in related fields on carbon cycle and land surface processes;
- to guide synthesis efforts, such as the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), in the review of mechanisms of global change in models that are broadly consistent with available contemporary observations;
- to increase scrutiny of key datasets used for model evaluation;
- to identify gaps in existing observations needed for model validation;
- to provide a quantitative, application-specific set of minimum criteria for participation in model intercomparison projects (MIPs);
- to provide an optional weighting system for multi-model mean estimates of future changes in the carbon cycle.

・回・ ・ヨ・ ・ヨ・

An Open Source Benchmarking Software System



- Human capital costs of making rigorous model-data comparisons is considerable and constrains the scope of individual MIPs.
- Many MIPs spend resources "reinventing the wheel" in terms of variable naming conventions, model simulation protocols, and analysis software.
- Need for ILAMB: Each new MIP has access to the model-data comparison modules from past MIPs through ILAMB (*e.g.*, MIPs use one common modular software system). Standardized international naming conventions also increase MIP efficiency.

・ロン ・回 と ・ 回 と ・ 回 と

3



International Land Model Benchmarking project and diagnostic system

ILAMB Meeting

Benchmarks

s Meet

Meeting Summary

Next Steps

What is a Benchmark?

- A benchmark is a quantitative test of model function, for which the uncertainties associated with the observations can be quantified.
- Acceptable performance on benchmarks is a necessary but not sufficient condition for a fully functioning model.
- Since all datasets have strengths and weaknesses, an effective benchmark is one that draws upon a broad set of independent observations to evaluate model performance on multiple temporal and spatial scales.



Benchmarks

Example Benchmark – Interannual to Decadal Time Scale

The relationship between El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) and observed CO_2 anomalies at Mauna Loa may be exploited to evaluate ocean and terrestrial model responses.



Forrest M. Hoffman and James T. Randerson

・ロン ・回と ・ヨン・

CO₂ Dependence on El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO)

- Keeling and Revelle (1985) described a shutdown in upwelling and biological activity during El Niño years, resulting in a shutdown of CO₂ out-gassing.
- Many others have confirmed this response, including Rayner et al., Feeley et al., Baker et al., and others.
- They suggested the deficiency in CO₂ flux is more than compensated for by widespread forest fires and plant deaths due to drought.
- While the net effect of *natural* processes may once have been a sink, the opposite effect is observed today.
- Opportunistic burning for forest clearing is likely to strengthen the sensitivity of CO₂ to El Niño.

Mauna Loa CO₂ (1957–2008) and Polynomial Curve Fit



Benchmarks

Meeting Summary

Next Steps

Mauna Loa CO₂ (1957–2008) Minus the Trend



Mauna Loa CO₂ (1957–2008) Mean Seasonal Cycle



Mauna Loa CO₂ (1957–2008) Deseasoned Anomalies

Mauna Loa CO₂ Deseasoned Anomalies



Mauna Loa CO₂ (1957–2008) Anomaly Growth Rate

Mauna Loa CO, Deseasoned Anomaly Growth Rate



Ocean Niño Index (ONI)



CO₂ Anomaly Growth Rate and Ocean Niño Index





Mount Pinatubo Eruption

- June 1991 on island of Luzon in the Philippines
- Second largest volcanic eruption of 20th century
- Millions of tons of sulfur dioxide discharged into atmosphere
- Gases and ash reached 34 km high and over 400 km wide
- Largest disturbance of stratosphere since Krakatau in 1883



International Land Model Benchmarking (ILAMB) Project

Relation Between CO₂ Anomaly Growth Rate and ONI



Relation Without 1991–1995 (Pinatubo Period)



Community Earth System Model (CESM) Control Run



y Next Steps

CESM vs. Observations



- Benchmark Conclusions
 - Relationship between Mauna Loa CO₂ anomalies and El Niño are strongly related, except during intervening events.
 - Models should capture this relationship for the right reasons, so this may be a useful metric for model evaluation.
 - More broadly, atmospheric CO₂ is an integrator of terrestrial and ocean fluxes with valuable information for constraining model behavior over a wide range of time scales (see also Cadule et al., 2010).
 - For this analysis, time-lag correlation may improve the fit and yield a more accurate slope.
 - This slope may change over time as humans exploit El Niño-induced drought for tropical forest clearing.
 - The CESM control run does a reasonable job of capturing this relationship. ・日・・ モー・ ・ ヨー



- Meeting Co-organized by Forrest Hoffman (UC-Irvine and ORNL), Chris Jones (UK Met Office), Pierre Friedlingstein (U. Exeter and IPSL-LSCE), and Jim Randerson (UC-Irvine).
- About 45 researchers participated from the United States, Canada, the United Kingdom, the Netherlands, France, Germany, Switzerland, China, Japan, and Australia.

ILAMB Meeting Goals

- Design the first set of ILAMB benchmarks for global models.
 - How many flavors (carbon cycle, LUC, hydrology, ...)?
 - What datasets do we include?
 - What graphics and cost functions?
- Coordinate carbon cycle and land model evaluation analyses for TRENDY and CMIP5 results.
- Develop an implementation plan for application of the ILAMB 1.0 benchmarks to TRENDY and CMIP5 output over next year.
- Decide upon the approach for developing ILAMB code.
 - netCDF for datasets? Language for evaluation code?
 - Need to extend variable naming conventions beyond CMIP5.
- Decide upon a future schedule and means to secure funding.
 - Key deadline is July 2012 for submission of manuscripts for IPCC AR5 Working Group 1.
 - Should ILAMB meet once a year until AR6?

alasela

Next Steps

Example Benchmark Score Sheet from C-LAMP

			Models ————						۲
Metric	Metric components	Uncertainty of obs.	Scaling mismatch	Total score	Sub-score	CASA'		CN	-
LAI	Matching MODIS observations			15.0		13.5		12.0	
	 Phase (assessed using the month of maximum LAI) 	Low	Low		6.0		5.1	4	.2
	 Maximum (derived separately for major biome classes) 	Moderate	Low		5.0		4.6	4	.3
	 Mean (derived separately for major biome classes) 	Moderate	Low		4.0		3.8	3	.5
NPP	Comparisons with field observations and satellite products			10.0		8.0		8.2	
	· Matching EMDI Net Primary Production observations	High	High		2.0		1.5	1	.6
	· EMDI comparison, normalized by precipitation	Moderate	Moderate		4.0		3.0	3	.4
	 Correlation with MODIS (r²) 	High	Low		2.0		1.6	1	.4
	 Latitudinal profile comparison with MODIS (r²) 	High	Low		2.0		1.9	1	.8
CO2 annual cycle	Matching phase and amplitude at Globalview flash sites	-		15.0		10.4		7.7	-
	• 60°-90°N	Low	Low		6.0		4.1	2	.8
	• 30°-60°N	Low	Low		6.0		4.2	3	.2
	• 0°-30°N	Moderate	Low		3.0		2.1	1	.7
Energy & CO ₂ fluxes	Matching eddy covariance monthly mean observations			30.0		17.2		16.6	_
	 Net ecosystem exchange 	Low	High		6.0		2.5	2	.1
	 Gross primary production 	Moderate	Moderate		6.0		3.4	3	.5
	Latent heat	Low	Moderate		9.0		6.4	6	.4
	Sensible heat	Low	Moderate		9.0		4.9	4	.6
Transient dynamics	Evaluating model processes that regulate carbon exchange			30.0		16.8		13.8	-
	on decadal to century timescales								
	· Aboveground live biomass within the Amazon Basin	Moderate	Moderate		10.0		5.3	5	.0
	 Sensitivity of NPP to elevated levels of CO₂: comparison to temperate forest FACE sites 	Low	Moderate		10.0		7.9	4	.1
	 Interannual variability of global carbon fluxes: comparison with TRANSCOM 	High	Low		5.0		3.6	3	.0
	 Regional and global fire emissions: comparison to GFEDv2 	High	Low		5.0		0.0	1	.7
			Total:	100.0		65.9		58.3	-

From Randerson et al. (2009)

-

Benchmarks

s Meeting Summary

Next Steps

	Annual Mean	Seasonal Cycle	Interannual Variability	Trend	Data Source			
Atmospheric CO ₂								
Flask/conc. + transport		~	√	√	NOAA, SIO, CSIRO			
TCCON + transport		√	√	√	Caltech			
Fluxnet								
GPP, NEE, TER, LE, H, RN	~	~	√		Fluxnet, MAST-DC			
Gridded: GPP	✓	~	?		MPI-BGC			
Hydrology/Energy								
river flow	~		√		GRDC, Dai, GFDL			
global runoff/ocean balance	✓				Syed/Famiglietti			
albedo (multi-band)		~	~		MODIS, CERES			
soil moisture		~	√		de Jeur, SMAP			
column water		√	√		GRACE			
snow cover	~	\checkmark	~	~	AVHRR, GlobSnow			
snow depth/SWE	~	~	√	√	CMC (N. America)			
T _{air} & P	 ✓ 	~	✓	√	CRU, GPCP and TRMM			
Gridded: LE, H	~	\checkmark			MPI-BGC, dedicated ET			
Ecosystem Processes & State								
soil C, N	✓				HWSD, MPI-BGC			
litter C, N	~				LIDET			
soil respiration	~	~	√	√	Bond-Lamberty			
FAPAR	 ✓ 	✓			MODIS, SeaWIFS			
biomass & change	~			~	Saatchi, Pan, Blackard			
canopy height	 Image: A set of the set of the				Lefsky, Fisher			
NPP	✓				EMDI, Luyssaert			
Vegetation Dynamics								
fire — burned area	~	\checkmark	~		GFED3			
wood harvest	 ✓ 			✓	Hurtt			
land cover	✓				MODIS PFT fraction			

Forrest M. Hoffman and James T. Randerson

International Land Model Benchmarking (ILAMB) Project

< □ > < @ > < 注 > < 注 > □ ≥ □

Meeting Summary

- Five break-out groups met, one for each benchmark category, to identify cost function metrics and graphics.
- Measurement and model uncertainty must be characterized and spatial scaling mismatch considered for evaluation.
- Key objectives are to use publicly available data and freely available software.
- The R package will be used for generating statistical results and diagnostics.
- Five initial benchmarks will be implemented to evaluate existing TRENDY and CMIP5 model results.



Benchmarks

A team was identified to begin software architecture design.

A developmental hierarchy for data, model results, code, and docs is established.

Server-based and distributed version control systems will be used for handling data and code, respectively.



Forrest M. Hoffman and James T. Randerson

Next Steps

- A team was identified to begin implementing 5–6 benchmarks in existing model results from TRENDY and now CMIP5.
- NSF's DataONE project is partnering to contribute cyber infrastructure to support analysis and visualization.
- A draft document proposing additional new netCDF Climate and Forecast (CF) conventions, beyond those created for CMIP5, is available for comment.
- Monthly conference calls started in September.
- A development Wiki is coming soon.
- ILAMB Side Meeting at AGU Fall Meeting on Monday night.
- Next ILAMB meeting in Beijing, China, in early 2012.

International Land Model Benchmarking (ILAMB) Project http://www.ilamb.org/

P. Cadule, P. Friedlingstein, L. Bopp, S. Sitch, C. D. Jones, P. Ciais, S. L. Piao, and P. Peylin. Benchmarking coupled climate-carbon models against long-term atmospheric CO₂ measurements. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 24(2):GB2016, Oct. 2010. doi:10.1029/2009GB003556.

J. T. Randerson, F. M. Hoffman, P. E. Thornton, N. M. Mahowald, K. Lindsay, Y.-H. Lee, C. D. Nevison, S. C. Doney, G. Bonan, R. Stöckli, C. Covey, S. W. Running, and I. Y. Fung. Systematic assessment of terrestrial biogeochemistry in coupled climate-carbon models. *Global Change Biol.*, 15(9):2462–2484, Sept. 2009. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.01912.x.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト